UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,746	04/18/2007	Naoto Ojima	060622	9503
	7590 03/03/201 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400			NGUYEN, PHU HOANG	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/589,746	OJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHU H. NGUYEN	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 N</u>	ovember 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11,13 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/2008, 11/14/2006, 8/17/2006. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group II, claims 11-12 in the reply filed on 11/10/2009 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hale (U.S Patent No. 3438381).

Hale discloses a method for reducing noxious ingredients (corresponding to the claimed "nicotine" and "tar" content) of the mainstream smoke of a tobacco product, the method comprising the step of passing a mainstream smoke of tobacco product through a filter of a smoking article (column 1, lines 10-42); wherein the filter has a viscous liquid composition containing a polysaccharide such as resins and gums of tree origin (corresponding to claimed gums of the instant application) and gelatins (column 2, lines 26-28 and claim 2). Hale discloses the viscous liquid having sufficient viscosity to remain within the filter in use yet having enough flow for filling in manufacture and the liquid maybe honey (column 1, lines 31-35) but does not expressly disclose the viscosity range of the viscous liquid. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a viscous liquid like honey would have the viscosity around 2000 to 3000

centipoise (as evidenced by http://www.research-

equipment.com/viscosity%20chart.html) overlapping with the claimed ranges of viscosity. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to pick the compositions overlapping the claimed viscosity.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jany (U.S Patent No. 3256890) discloses a method for reducing the nicotine and tar content of the mainstream smoke of a tobacco product, the method comprising the step of passing a mainstream smoke of a tobacco product through a filter of a smoking article (column 1, lines 15-20, column 2, lines 12-14, lines 44-46 and 61-63). Jany does not expressly disclose the viscosity range of the fluid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHU H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-5931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Phillip Tucker can be reached on 571-272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,746 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

P.N 2/25/2010

/Philip C Tucker/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791